

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF SOUTHERNNET, INC. FOR A)	
CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND)	
NECESSITY TO PROVIDE LONG DISTANCE)	
TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INCLUDING)	CASE NO. 89-134
OPERATOR-ASSISTED SERVICES, STATEWIDE)	
AS A WATS RESELLER WITHIN THE COMMON-)	
WEALTH OF KENTUCKY)	

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that SouthernNet, Inc. shall file the original and ten copies of the following information with the Commission, with a copy to all parties of record. The information requested herein is due within 14 days from the date of this Order.

1. SouthernNet, Inc., in response to Item 8 of the Commission's Order, dated July 10, 1989, contends that "SouthernNet, Inc., a Georgia Corporation which is the applicant herein, does not own or operate any transmission facilities in the Commonwealth of Kentucky or any other jurisdiction." In addition, in response to Item 9 of the same Order, SouthernNet, Inc. stated "...SouthernNet itself has no transmission facilities in Kentucky or any other state in which it operates." Finally, in Case No. 9830,¹ exhibit C-1, 3rd paragraph, Long Distance Telephone Savers,

¹ Case No. 9830, The Application of Long Distance Telephone Savers, Inc. for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Provide Resale of Telecommunications Services and Operation of Facilities Within Kentucky.

Inc. ("LDTS"), which is owned by a subsidiary of SouthernNet, Inc., contended that "SouthernNet, Inc. (the Company) is a telecommunications Common Carrier." Further in the same paragraph, it says "The Company has constructed its own inter-city fiber optic transmission network" In paragraph 4 of the same exhibit, LDTS contends "SouthernNet, Inc., a Delaware Corporation" Provide answers to the following questions regarding the above information:

(a) Provide an updated corporate structure, including a detailed description of each and every subsidiary's structure.

(b) Is SouthernNet, Inc., a Georgia corporation or a Delaware corporation? If it is a Georgia corporation, why did the application of LDTS state otherwise?

(c) Does SouthernNet, Inc. own any transmission facilities in any jurisdiction? If it does not, why did the application of LDTS state otherwise?

(d) Does SouthernNet, Inc. operate any transmission facilities in any jurisdiction?

(e) Does SouthernNet, Inc. own any transmission facilities in any jurisdiction that it does not operate?

2. How does SouthernNet, Inc. plan to comply with the Commission's prohibition against intraLATA alternate operator services? Explain thoroughly.

3. Is SouthernNet, Inc. able to comply with each of the conditions of service for operator services as detailed in the

attached Order, Administrative Case No. 330,² dated September 8, 1989? Provide a detailed explanation of compliance for each condition of service.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 18th day of September, 1989.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


For the Commission

ATTEST:

Executive Director

²

Administrative Case No. 330, Policy and Procedures in the Provision of Operator-Assisted Telecommunications Services.